UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,745	12/07/2005	Takayoshi Mamine	09812.0120-00000	5942	
	7590 03/05/200 ENDERSON, FARAB	EXAMINER			
LLP	ŕ	YU, MELANIE J			
	K AVENUE, NW N, DC 20001-4413	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1641		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		03/05/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Occurrence		Α	Application No. Applica		Applicant(s)	licant(s)			
		1	0/559,745	N	MAMINE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			xaminer	-	Art Unit				
			ELANIE YU		1641				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appear	rs on the cover sheet	t with the cor	respondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)☑ 5	Responsive to communication(s) file	ad on 07 Dece	mher 2005						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 December 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
′=		<i>′</i> —		atters prose	ecution as to the	e merits is			
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	n of Claims	•	,	,					
·		nlination							
· —	4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	n and/ar alast	ion roquiroment						
0) (0	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> are subject to restrictio	n and/or elect	ion requirement.						
Applicatio	n Papers								
9) <u></u> ⊤I	ne specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10) <u></u> ⊤I	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a)∏ accept	ed or b)□ objected	to by the Ex	aminer.				
А	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F stion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper N	ew Summary (P No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Pate	·				

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3 are drawn to a substrate comprising the special technical feature of a substrate having a flat plate shape.

Group II, claim(s) 4-7 are drawn to a bioassay apparatus comprising the special technical feature of a substrate holding means.

Group III, claim(s) 8 is drawn to a method comprising the special technical feature of holding and rotationally driving a substrate for bioassay.

1. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: groups I and II are drawn to products that do not share a special technical relationship and the application contains method and product claims to more than one of the combinations of inventions as set forth by 37 CFR 1.475.

According to 37 CFR 1.475 regarding unity of invention:

- (a) An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.
- (b) An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:
- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and a process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or

(5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more special technical features. The term "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution with each of the inventions considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made based on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings.

Applicants are allowed at most one product, one method of making and one method of using in a single general inventive concept. However, the products of groups I and II and method of using of group III do not form a general inventive concept because they do not share a special technical feature over the prior art. Werner et al. teach a substrate for bioassay that has a flat plate shape (optical bio-disc, par. 8; 120, Fig. 2A) and the substrate including: a reaction region adapted so that the sample material and fluorescence marking agent are permitted to be dropped from an upper side and the probe material is immobilized (par. 20 and 27), the reaction region serving as a field of binding between the probe and sample with plural wells (targets deposited in array, par. 183, and plurality of channels are plurality of wells with target zones, par. 186) to which fluorescence with respect to the fluorescence marking agent is irradiated from the lower side are formed (par. 110-111), and an information region where light is irradiated from the lower side to have the ability to record information (incident beam from below the disc, Fig. 3B; par. 110-111). Therefore the products and uses of the products do not share a corresponding special technical feature over the prior art.

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELANIE YU whose telephone number is (571)272-2933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

Art Unit: 1641

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Melanie Yu/ Examiner, Art Unit 1641

/Long V Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1641